ქავკასიის უნივერსიტეტი
CAUCASUS UNIVERSITY

Annex No. 1. Blind Peer Review Report Form

CAUCASUS LAW JOURNAL
PEER REVIEW REPORT

Article Information (to be completed by the Editorial Office)

Article Title, Manuscript ID:

Evaluation Criteria

No.	Criterion	Yes	Partly	No
1.	The subject of the manuscript is consistent with the scope of the Journal	☐	☐	☐
2.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific novelty and originality	☐	☐	☐
3.	The manuscript makes a significant contribution to the relevant field of law	☐	☐	☐
4.	The research aims and objectives are clearly defined	☐	☐	☐
5.	The research methodology is appropriate to the stated aims and objectives	☐	☐	☐
6.	The academic literature and legal sources used are relevant and adequately analysed	☐	☐	☐
7.	The legal reasoning and argumentation are logical and well-substantiated	☐	☐	☐
8.	The conclusions and recommendations follow from the research findings and are adequately substantiated	☐	☐	☐
9.	The manuscript is well-structured and logically organised	☐	☐	☐
10.	The manuscript complies with academic writing and citation requirements	☐	☐	☐

Overall Recommendation

Based on the above assessment, I recommend:

- ☐ Acceptance for publication without revisions
- ☐ Acceptance for publication after minor revisions
- ☐ Substantial revision and resubmission for further peer review
- ☐ Rejection (not recommended for publication)

Reviewer's Comments and Recommendations for the Author

Confidential Comments to the Editor (Optional)

Date:

Reviewer (identity withheld from the author):